1	2	3	4	Σ

6.0/4.0 VU Formale Methoden der Informatik (185.291) Dec 9, 2020							
Kennz. (study id)	Matrikelnummer (student id)	Nachname (surname)	Vorname (first name)				

1.) An undirected graph (V, E) is called a *distance2-graph* if the there exists a vertex in V such that all vertices are reached via a path of length at most 2.

For example, the graph  $(\{a_1, b_1, c_1, a_2, b_2, c_2, x\}, \{[a_1, a_2], [b_1, b_2], [c_1, c_2], [a_2, x], [b_2, x], [c_2, x]\})$  is a distance2-graph (since each vertex is reached from x via a path of length at most 2), while  $(\{a, b, c, d\}, \{[a, b], [b, c], [c, d]\})$  is not.

Consider the following new problem 3COLD2 and recall the NP-complete problem 3COL defined below:

## 3-COLORABILITY-DISTANCE2-GRAPH (3COLD2)

INSTANCE: A distance2-graph G = (V, E).

QUESTION: Does there exists a function  $\mu$  from vertices in V to values in  $\{0, 1, 2\}$  such that  $\mu(v_1) \neq \mu(v_2)$  for any edge  $[v_1, v_2] \in E$ .

## **3-COLORABILITY (3COL)**

INSTANCE: An undirected graph G = (V, E).

QUESTION: Does there exists a function  $\mu$  from vertices in V to values in  $\{0, 1, 2\}$  such that  $\mu(v_1) \neq \mu(v_2)$  for any edge  $[v_1, v_2] \in E$ .

(a) The following function f provides a polynomial-time many-one reduction from **3COL** to **3COLD2**: for a directed graph  $G = (\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}, E)$ , let

$$f(G) = \begin{pmatrix} \{v'_1, v''_1, \dots, v'_n, v''_n\} \cup \{x\}, \\ \{[v'_i, v''_i], [v''_i, x] \mid i = 1 \dots n\} \cup \\ \{[v'_i, v'_j] \mid [v_i, v_j] \in E\} \end{pmatrix}$$

Show that G is a yes-instance of **3COL**  $\iff$  f(G) is a yes-instance of **3COLD2**.

#### (9 points)

- (b) Tick the correct statements (for ticking a correct statement a certain number of points is given; ticking an incorrect statement results in a substraction of the same amount; you cannot go below 0 points):
  - Since **3COLD2** is a sub-problem of **3COL**, **3COLMG** must be NP-hard.
  - Since **3COLD2** is a sub-problem of **3COL**, **3COLD2** must be contained in NP.
  - Given that **3COL** is NP-hard, our reduction shows that **3COLD2** is also NP-hard.
  - $\circ~$  Given that  $\mathbf{3COL}$  is NP-hard, our reduction shows that  $\mathbf{3COLD2}$  is in NP.
  - Given that **3COL** is in NP, our reduction shows that **3COLD2** is also in NP.
  - Given that **3COL** is in NP, our reduction shows that **3COLD2** is NP-hard.

(6 points)

- 2.) (a) First define the concept of a theory and of a  $\mathcal{T}$ -interpretation. Then use them to define:
  - i. the  $\mathcal{T}$ -satisfiability of a formula;

ii. the  $\mathcal T\text{-validity}$  of a formula.

\_

Additionally define the completeness of a theory  $\mathcal{T}$ .

(3 points)

(b) Consider the function P, defined as follows.

Algorithm 1: The function P			
<b>Input:</b> $x, y$ , two non-negative integers			
<b>Output:</b> The computed non-negative integer value for $x, y$			
1 if $x == 0$ then			
2 <b>return</b> $y + 1;$			
$\mathbf{s}$ else if $y == 0$ then			
4 $\lfloor$ return $P(x-1,1);$			
<b>5 else return</b> $P(x - 1, P(x, y - 1));$			

Show, using well-founded induction, that

$$\forall x \,\forall y \, \left( (x \in \mathbb{N}_0 \,\land\, y \in \mathbb{N}_0) \,\to\, \mathcal{P}(x, y) > y \right)$$

### (10 points)

(c) Suppose  $P_c$  is a correct implementation of P in the C programming language with x and y of type unsigned integers of size 64 bit (i.e., of type uint64\_t). Is

$$\mathbf{P}(x',y') = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{C}}(x',y')$$

true for all integers x', y' satisfying  $0 \le x', y' \le \text{UINT64_MAX}$ , where  $\text{UINT64_MAX}$  is the largest value for a variable of type  $\text{uint64_t}$ ?

If so, then prove this fact. Otherwise provide a counterexample with an exact explanation of what is computed and what is happening. (2 points)

**3.)** (a) Let p be the following program:

$$y := n;$$
  
while  $y > 0$  do  
 $x := x - 8 * y + 4;$   
 $y := y - 1$   
od

Give a loop invariant for the **while** loop in p and prove the validity of the partial correctness triple  $\{n > 0 \land x = 4 * n^2 + 2\} p \{x = 2\}.$ 

(9 points)

(b) Provide a non-trivial pre-condition A and a non-trivial post-condition B, such that the total correctness triple {A} p {B} is valid. Trivial means equivalent to true or false, so your precondition A and postcondition B should not be equivalent to true or false. The program p is given below.

Program p:

$$\begin{aligned} x &:= y + x; \\ \textbf{while } x \neq y \ \textbf{do} \\ y &:= y + 1; \\ x &:= x - 1; \\ \textbf{od} \end{aligned}$$

(2 points)

(c) Explain why wp(abort, B) = false, whereas wlp(abort, B) = true for any B.

Give a concise answer!

#### (2 points)

(d) Explain the role of t in the following verification condition which ensures the total correctness **while** loop:

$$VC(\textbf{while } b \textbf{ do } p \textbf{ od}, B) = (I \land \neg b) \implies B$$

$$\bigwedge (I \land b) \implies t \ge 0$$

$$\bigwedge (I \land b \land t = t_0) \implies wp(p, I \land t < t_0)$$

$$\bigwedge VC(p, I \land t < t_0)$$

Why do we need  $t_0$ ?

Give a concise answer!

(2 points)

4.) (a) Provide a non-empty simulation relation H that witnesses  $M_1 \leq M_2$ , where  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are shown below. The initial state of  $M_1$  is  $s_0$ , the initial state of  $M_2$  is  $t_0$ :

Kripke structure  $M_1$ :

# Kripke structure $M_2$ :





(4 points)

(b) Consider the following Kripke structure M:



For each of the following formulae  $\varphi,$ 

- i. check the respective box if the formula is in CTL, LTL, and/or CTL\*, and
- ii. list the states  $s_i$  on which the formula  $\varphi$  holds; i.e. for which states  $s_i$  do we have  $M, s_i \models \varphi$ ?

arphi	CTL	LTL	$\mathrm{CTL}^*$	States $s_i$
$\mathbf{AX}(y)$				
$\mathbf{EG}(z)$				
$\mathbf{F}(y)$				
$\mathbf{G}(x \wedge z)$				
$\mathbf{E}[(z) \ \mathbf{U} \ (x)]$				

(5 points)

## (c) LTL tautologies

Prove that the following formulas are tautologies, i.e., they hold for every Kripke structure M and every path  $\pi$  in M, or find a Kripke structure M and path  $\pi$  in M, for which the formula does not hold and justify your answer.

i. 
$$((p \mathbf{U} \neg q) \mathbf{U} \neg r) \Leftrightarrow (p \mathbf{U} (\neg q \mathbf{U} \neg r))$$
  
ii.  $\mathbf{F}(p \land \mathbf{XG}p) \Rightarrow \mathbf{GF}p$ 

(6 points)

Grading scheme: 0–29 nicht genügend, 30–35 genügend, 36–41 befriedigend, 42–47 gut, 48–60 sehr gut