# 6.0/4.0 VU Formale Methoden der Informatik 185.291 December 12, 2017

Kennzahl (study id)	$egin{array}{c} { m Matrikelnummer}\ ({ m student}{ m id}) \end{array}$	Familienname (family name)	Vorname (first name)	Gruppe (version)
				A

1.) Consider the following problem:

#### **3-COLORABILITY-MIRROR**

INSTANCE: A pair (G, x) with G = (V, E) an undirected graph and  $x \in V$  a vertex.

QUESTION: Is it true that  $G^* = (V^*, E^*)$  is 3-colorable, where  $G^*$  is defined via vertices  $V^* = V \cup \{v' \mid v \in V\}$  and edges  $E^* = E \cup \{[u', v'] \mid [u, v] \in E\} \cup \{[x, x']\}$ ?

By providing a suitable reduction from the standard **3-COLORABILITY** problem, prove that **3-COLORABILITY-MIRROR** is an NP-hard problem. Argue formally that your reduction is correct.

Recall that **3-COLORABILITY** is defined as follows:

#### **3-COLORABILITY**

INSTANCE: An undirected graph G = (V, E).

QUESTION: Does there exists a function  $\mu$  from vertices in V to values in  $\{0, 1, 2\}$  such that  $\mu(v_1) \neq \mu(v_2)$  for any edge  $[v_1, v_2] \in E$ .

**Hint:** If there is a valid coloring  $\mu$  for a graph G then there is also also a valid coloring  $\mu'$  for G which "swaps" colors (i.e.  $\mu(v) \neq \mu'(v)$  for each vertex v in G).

(15 points)

2.) (a) Use a semantic argument to prove the  $\mathcal{T}_A$ -validity of the following  $\Sigma_A$ -formula, or provide a counterexample (i.e., a falsifying  $\mathcal{T}_A$ -interpretation):

$$a\langle i \triangleleft e \rangle[j] = e \to i = j \lor a[j] = e$$

(8 points)

(b) Is the following  $\Sigma_A$ -formula  $\mathcal{T}_A$ -valid? Justify your answer.

$$a\langle i \triangleleft e \rangle [j] = e \to i = j$$

(1 point)

(c) Is the following  $\Sigma_A$ -formula  $\mathcal{T}_A$ -valid? Justify your answer.

$$a\langle i \triangleleft e \rangle[j] = e \to a[j] = e$$

(1 point)

(d) Let ψ be a propositional formula in CNF and let S := {C ∈ ψ | ℓ ∈ C} be the set of all clauses C in ψ that contain ℓ, where ℓ is the literal of some arbitrary but fixed variable. Assume that literal ¬ℓ does not occur in any clause in ψ.
Let ψ' be the CNF obtained from ψ by removing all clauses in S: ψ' := ψ \ S. Give a detailed proof of the following statement:

 $\psi$  is satisfiable if and only if  $\psi'$  is satisfiable.

(5 points)

- **3.)** Let  $\pi$  be the program  $x \coloneqq x y; y \coloneqq x + y; x \coloneqq y x$ .
  - (a) Specify a correctness assertion stating that this program swaps that values of the variables x and y. (1 point)
  - (b) Prove the correctness assertion using weakest preconditions. (5 points)
  - (c) Prove the correctness assertion using strongest postconditions. (9 points)
- 4.) (a) Provide a non-empty simulation relation H that witnesses  $M_1 \leq M_2$ , where  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are shown below. The initial state of  $M_1$  is  $s_0$ , the initial state of  $M_2$  is  $t_0$ :

Kripke structure  $M_1$ :

Kripke structure  $M_2$ :



(4 points)



For each of the following formulae  $\varphi$ ,

- i. check the respective box if the formula is in CTL, LTL, and/or CTL\*, and
- ii. list the states  $s_i$  on which the formula  $\varphi$  holds; i.e. for which states  $s_i$  do we have  $M, s_i \models \varphi$ ?

$\varphi$	CTL	LTL	$\mathrm{CTL}^*$	States $s_i$
$\mathbf{F}(b \wedge c)$				
$\mathbf{X}(a \wedge b \wedge c)$				
$((b) \ \mathbf{U} \ (b))$				
$\mathbf{EG}(c)$				
$\mathbf{E}[(a \wedge b) \ \mathbf{U} \ (a)]$				
	1			(5)

### (5 points)

## (c) CTL Model Checking Algorithm

Let K = (S, T, L) be a Kripke structure and let p be an atomic proposition. Give an algorithm that computes the set of all states  $s \in S$  that satisfy **EG**p.

(6 points)