

<b>6.0/4.0 VU Formale Methoden der Informatik</b>				
<b>185.291</b>		<b>WS2011/SS 2012</b>		<b>29 June 2012</b>
Kennzahl (study id)	Matrikelnummer (student id)	Familiename (family name)	Vorname (first name)	Gruppe (version) <b>A</b>

- 1.) We want to prove the *NP-hardness* of **SUBSET SUM**. Your task is to give a polynomial time reduction  $R$  from **PARTITION** (which is *NP-complete*) to **SUBSET SUM**. Additionally, prove the “ $\Leftarrow$ ” direction in the proof of correctness of the reduction, i.e., let  $x$  denote an arbitrary instance of the **PARTITION** problem and let  $R(x)$  denote the corresponding instance of the **SUBSET SUM** problem. You have to prove the following statement: if  $R(x)$  is a positive instance of **SUBSET SUM**, then  $x$  is a positive instance of **PARTITION**.

The definition of these two problems is given below:

**PARTITION:**

Instance: A finite set of  $n$  positive integers  $P = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$ .

Question: Can the set  $P$  be partitioned into two subsets  $P_1, P_2$  such that the sum of the numbers in  $P_1$  equals the sum of the numbers in  $P_2$ ?

**SUBSET SUM:**

Instance: A finite set of integer numbers  $S = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$  and an integer number  $t$ .

Question: Does there exist a subset  $S' \subseteq S$ , s.t. the sum of the elements in  $S'$  is equal to  $t$ , i.e.,  $(\sum_{a_i \in S'} a_i) = t$ ? **(15 points)**

- 2.) (a) Let  $\varphi^E$  be the following equality logic formula:

$$(x_5 = x_6 \vee x_4 \neq x_5) \wedge x_4 \neq x_6 \wedge x_4 = x_2 \wedge x_2 = x_3 \wedge (x_3 \neq x_1 \vee x_4 = x_1)$$

Apply the *Sparse Method* to obtain an equisatisfiable propositional formula: Apply simplification/preprocessing to obtain an equi-satisfiable  $\varphi_S^E$ ; draw the nonpolar equality graph  $G_{NP}^E(\varphi_S^E)$ ; make  $G_{NP}^E(\varphi_S^E)$  chordal; compute the propositional skeleton  $e(\varphi_S^E)$  and transitivity constraints  $B_t$ ; and give the resulting propositional formula. **(6 points)**

- (b) Given a set  $\mathcal{C}$  of clauses, a conflict graph  $G$  with respect to  $\mathcal{C}$ , and some clause  $D$ . Prove the following:

If  $D$  was learned from  $G$  following the first-UIP scheme, then the following formula is valid:

$$\left( \bigwedge_{C \in \mathcal{C}} C \right) \rightarrow D$$

**(9 points)**

- 3.) (a) Show that the following version of the ‘logical consequence’-rule is not sound, by means of a counter-example; argue that it is a counter-example.

$$\frac{\{F\} p \{G\} \quad G' \Rightarrow G}{\{F\} p \{G'\}}$$

(A rule being sound means: “Whenever all premises are true, the conclusion is also true.”) **(5 points)**

- (b) Show that the following correctness assertion is totally correct. Describe the function computed by the program if we consider  $n$  as its input and  $a$  as its output.

*Hint:* Use the annotation rule

```
while e do ... od
  ↦ { Inv } while e do { Inv ∧ e ∧ t = t_0 } ... { Inv ∧ (e ⇒ 0 ≤ t < t_0) } od { Inv ∧ ¬e }
```

```

{ n ≥ 1 }
a ← 0;
b ← 2;
{ Inv: b = 2a+1 ∧ 0 < b ≤ 2n }
while b ≤ n do
  a ← a + 1;
  b ← b + b
od;
{ 2a ≤ n < 2a+1 }

```

(10 points)

#### 4.) Computation Tree Logic.

Let  $AP$  be a set of propositional symbols, and  $AP' \subseteq AP$  be a subset of  $AP$ .

We recall the definition of ACTL formulae over  $AP$ :

- $p \in AP$  and  $\neg p \in AP$  are ACTL formulae,
- if  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are ACTL formulae, then  $\varphi \wedge \psi$ ,  $\varphi \vee \psi$ , **AX**  $\varphi$ , **AG**  $\varphi$ , and **A** [ $\varphi$  **U**  $\psi$ ] are ACTL formulae.

Let  $M = (S, I, R, L)$  and  $M' = (S', I', R', L')$  be two Kripke structures related as follows:

- $S = S'$ ,  $I = I'$ ,  $R = R'$ , and
- $L'(s) = L(s) \cap AP'$ , where  $s \in S$ .

Let  $\hat{M} = (\hat{S}, \hat{I}, \hat{R}, \hat{L})$  be a Kripke structure related to  $M'$  as follows:

- $\hat{S} = 2^{AP'}$ , i.e., a state  $\hat{s} \in \hat{S}$  is a subset of  $AP'$ ,
- $\hat{I} = \{\hat{s} \in \hat{S} \mid \exists s \in I'. L'(s) = \hat{s}\}$ , i.e., a state  $\hat{s} \in \hat{S}$  is an initial state of  $\hat{M}$  if there is an initial state  $s \in I'$  such that  $s$  is labeled with  $\hat{s}$ .
- $\hat{R} = \{(\hat{s}, \hat{t}) \in \hat{S} \times \hat{S} \mid \exists s, t \in S. \hat{s} = L'(s) \wedge \hat{t} = L'(t) \wedge (s, t) \in R'\}$ , i.e., for each transition  $(\hat{s}, \hat{t}) \in \hat{R}$  there are states  $s, t \in S'$  such that there is a transition from  $s$  to  $t$  and  $s$  is labeled with  $\hat{s}$  and  $t$  is labeled with  $\hat{t}$ ,
- $\hat{L}(\hat{s}) = \hat{s}$  for all  $\hat{s} \in \hat{S}$ , i.e., each state  $\hat{s} \in \hat{S}$  is labeled with the atomic propositions it contains.

- (a) Prove that for any ACTL formula  $\varphi$  over propositions from  $AP'$  the following holds:

$$M \models \varphi \text{ if and only if } M' \models \varphi$$

*Hint:* Use the semantics of ACTL. You can either use an induction on the structure of the formula (structural induction) or an induction on the formula length.

(5 points)

- (b) Prove that for any ACTL formula  $\varphi$  over propositions from  $AP'$  the following holds:

$$\text{If } \hat{M} \models \varphi, \text{ then } M' \models \varphi$$

*Hint:* You can use the following theorem from the lecture:

*Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be Kripke structures such that  $M_1 \preceq M_2$ . Let  $\varphi$  be an ACTL\* formula. If  $M_2 \models \varphi$ , then  $M_1 \models \varphi$ .*

(10 points)